

Peer Review Evaluation of Research Design

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____ IRB#: _____

Please evaluate the proposal(s) using the criteria indicated in the table. Use the following scale:

0= poor/no/none/least; 1= needs major work/some; 2= below average; 3= average/fifty percent; 4= above average/most; 5= good/yes/all/greatest

Criteria and scale	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is the research focus justified?						
How potentially beneficial is this research?						
To what degree is the research original?						
To what extent will the research add to or clarify existing knowledge?						
Is/are the investigator (s) sufficiently qualified to undertake this study?						
Is the approach appropriate for the study?						
Is/are the procedure(s) for data collection standard for study type?						
Is /are the procedure(s) adequate for the required information?						
How available are the required instruments?						
To what extent can existing equipment be utilized for this study?						
Will the study include vertebrate animals or hazardous materials?						
How much of the study will be conducted on the NCU campus?						
How much of the study will be conducted at the collaborating site?						
To what degree will the study negatively impact the environment?						
Are there procedures to minimize the negative impact of the study?						
Are the proposed methods of analysis appropriate for the expected data?						
Will the analysis require special instrumentation?						
How available are the instruments for the analysis of data?						
How involved will NCU students be in this study?						
What is the likelihood that the study will be completed in the time specified?						
Are potential problems considered?						
Are there provisions for contingencies?						
Are funds available for initiation to completion?						
Will Additional funds be sought?						
Has adequate consideration been given to the dissemination of results?						
Has all the required approvals been sought and given?						

